

American Bar Association
**Federated Identity Management
Legal Task Force**

Thomas J. Smedinghoff
Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon, LLP
Chicago

Co-Chair, ABA Federated Identity Management Legal Task Force



Background

- ABA Task Force established January 2009
- Co-Chairs
 - Thomas J. Smedinghoff, Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP
 - Jane K. Winn, University of Washington School of Law
- It's an open project. Participants include:
 - Lawyers, non-lawyers, IdM technology experts, businesspersons, and other interested persons
 - From businesses, associations, and government agencies
 - From U.S., Canada, EU, and Australia so far
- Website (and sign up for listserv) at –
 - **www.abanet.org/dch/committee.cfm?com=CL320041**
 - Alt. URL: **<http://tinyurl.com/yft89m8>**



Goals

- Identify and analyze the **legal issues** that arise in connection with the development, implementation and use of federated identity management systems;
- Identify and evaluate appropriate **legal models** to address issues;
- Develop **model terms and contracts** that can be used by parties



Work Groups

- Definitions
- Legal issues
- Privacy
- ID Proofing / Notaries
- Legal structures
- Model Contracts



Interim Projects Include . . .

- Liability for what? – Identify what could go wrong
- Identify existing laws related to identity management
- Identify potential liability models
- ID Proofing – Impact on legal issues
- Notaries as ID proofers
- Common definitions
- Levels of Assurance – Impact on legal issues
- Collecting sample contracts



Legal Landscape – The Rules

- Private rules created by or for the participants –
 - e.g., performance obligations of the participants
- Preexisting statutory/regulatory rules that impact performance of participants in the IdM system
 - E.g., privacy laws, security laws, FFIEC, etc
- Preexisting legal rules (statutes, regulations, common law) that impact liability of participants in the IdM system
 - E.g., warranty law, negligence law, etc.
- Sometimes private rules and existing laws clash

Why Do We Care About Legal Issues?



Wildman Harrold
Attorneys and Counselors

- We need to create a legal framework to make it work
 - Need rules to ensure participant performance of obligations necessary to make it work
 - Need ability to enforce those rules
- We need to understand impact of existing laws
 - We need to understand how existing law will determine liability when losses occur
 - What laws we can change by contract (and how)
 - What laws we can't change, and must comply with
 - Need to understand how to legally mitigate risks and allocate liability
- Need enforcement mechanism

Consider the Sources of the Legal Issues



Wildman Harrold
Attorneys and Counselors

- Statutes and regulations (in all relevant jurisdictions)
- Common law / judicial decisions
- Standards
 - Industry associations (e.g., PCI DSS)
 - System rules – e.g., Visa rules, ATM system rules
- Self-imposed requirements
 - Unilateral undertakings, such as privacy policy or CPS
- Contracts among the parties
 - Trust frameworks
 - Bilateral agreements



Consider Categories of Law

- Contract law
- Warranty law
- Tort law
 - Negligent performance
 - Negligent misrepresentation
 - Fraudulent misrepresentation
 - Defamation
- Third party beneficiary law
- E-transactions law
- Consumer protection law
- Security law
- Privacy / data protection law
- Identity theft law
- Antitrust law
- Unfair competition law
- False endorsement
- False advertising
- IP law
 - Copyright law
 - Trade secrets law
 - Trademark law
 - Patent law
- Statutory/regulatory law
 - Governing the IdM process
 - Imposing IdM compliance obligations
- Liability for the conduct of others
- Governmental immunity law
- Other

Consider Factors that Affect Application of the Law



Wildman Harrold
Attorneys and Counselors

- Nature of the person involved
 - e.g., Individual, consumer, business, corporate entity, government entity
- Expertise of the person involved
 - e.g., unsophisticated vs. professional / in the business, etc.
- Nature of the information involved
 - e.g., sensitivity of personal information (e.g., name vs. SSN)
- Nature of the use involved
 - e.g., login to garden club website vs. launch nuclear missiles
- Nature of any resulting harm
 - e.g., embarrassment, economic losses, property damage, personal injury
- Level of assurance involved



Other Factors

- Who created the legal rule?
 - The participants – e.g., contracts?
 - Government statutes or regulation?
 - Court decision – common law?
- Where does the legal rule apply?
 - What jurisdiction's law controls transaction?
 - How to handle cross jurisdiction transactions?
- How can we change the legal rule?
 - Can statutes, regulation, or common law be varied by contract?
 - What happens when laws conflict between jurisdictions?

Some Possible Approaches to the Legal Analysis



Wildman Harrold
Attorneys and Counselors

- Focus on obligations and concerns of each role
 - E.g., what is the IdP obligated to do to make it work?
 - E.g., what is the IdP concerned about re performance by others?
- Focus on actions that occur at each point in the IdM process
 - E.g., for issuance of credential . . .
 - What could go wrong and give rise to liability?
 - What are potential liabilities of each participant (IdP, Subject, Relying Party, etc.) that could flow from such an action?
- Focus on categories of legal risk
 - E.g., performance risk, privacy risk, security risk, identification risk, technology risk, authentication risk, etc.

For Example . . .

Consider Legal Issues By Role



Wildman Harrold
Attorneys and Counselors

- Basic roles include –
 - Trust Framework Provider / Assessor / Auditor
 - Subject / Identity Provider / Relying Party
 - Victim (non-participant)
- For each role in an IdM system, consider the following:
 - What are the obligations required of a participant in that role in order to make the IdM system work properly
 - What are the concerns that a participant in that role has re participating in and relying on the IdM system?
 - What rights does that role have by law?
 - What other rules are necessary, or should be addressed, for a participant in that role?

Recognize That “Liability” Per Se Is Not the Issue



Wildman Harrold
Attorneys and Counselors

- “Liability” is just the penalty when you, or someone else, does something wrong
- We need to define when something is wrong
 - What are you required to do?
 - What are you prohibited from doing?
 - What are you committing to (e.g., representations)?
 - What standard is applied to your conduct?
- We need to identify the legal issues of concern
 - We can’t address the issue unless we know the potential source of the liability – e.g., warranty, antitrust, tort, contract, duty to authenticate, etc.
- We need to consider mitigation strategies



Some Liability Models

- DMV model – no IdP liability; other roles bear risk
- Credit card model – no Subject liability; other roles bear risk
- Contractual model – negotiated risk allocation
- Strict liability – regardless of fault
- Liability caps model
- EV SSL model – restrictions on ability of IdP to limit liability
- Warranty model – focus on guarantees
- Tort model – focus on standards of conduct; negligence



Common Problems to Consider

- The non-waivable statute problem
 - Some laws impact IdM systems
 - Can't be changed by contract
- The cross-border problem
 - Addressing the problem of differing legal regimes
 - Requirements in one jurisdiction may not exist in another
 - Requirements in one jurisdiction may conflict with requirements in another



Addressing/Controlling Legal Issues

- Some legal issues cannot be controlled
 - Law governs – cannot be altered; must comply
 - So must understand impact
- Some legal issues can be controlled by contract
 - Law governs, but can be altered by contract, or
 - No law, so parties can determine by contract or other method
- For some legal issues its unclear whether the issue can be controlled
 - Governing law cannot be altered in some jurisdictions, but can be altered (or doesn't exist) in others
 - E.g., SSN transfer must be encrypted in some jurisdictions, but not regulated in others
 - E.G., Consent to transfer of personal data valid in some jurisdictions, not valid in others



Approaches to a Legal Framework Wildman Harrold Attorneys and Counselors

- Legislative/Regulatory framework
 - DigSig law in e.g., Washington, Malaysia, Egypt etc.
 - EU E-Signature Directive
 - But don't address all required issues
- Unilateral assertion model
 - E.g., original CPS approach
 - But can't ignore laws and regulations
- Contractual framework models
 - But can't ignore laws and regulations
- Hybrid framework – most likely

Further Information



Wildman Harrold
Attorneys and Counselors

Thomas J. Smedinghoff

Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP

225 West Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

312-201-2021

smedinghoff@wildman.com