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Background

• ABA Task Force established January 2009
• Co-Chairs
  • Thomas J. Smedinghoff, Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP
  • Jane K. Winn, University of Washington School of Law
• It’s an open project. Participants include:
  • Lawyers, non-lawyers, IdM technology experts, businesspersons, and other interested persons
  • From businesses, associations, and government agencies
  • From U.S., Canada, EU, and Australia so far
• Website (and sign up for listserv) at –
  • www.abanet.org/dch/committee.cfm?com=CL320041
  • Alt. URL: http://tinyurl.com/yft89m8
Goals

- Identify and analyze the **legal issues** that arise in connection with the development, implementation and use of federated identity management systems;
- Identify and evaluate appropriate **legal models** to address issues;
- Develop **model terms and contracts** that can be used by parties
Work Groups

- Definitions
- Legal issues
- Privacy
- ID Proofing / Notaries
- Legal structures
- Model Contracts
Interim Projects Include . . .

• Liability for what? – Identify what could go wrong
• Identify existing laws related to identity management
• Identify potential liability models
• ID Proofing – Impact on legal issues
• Notaries as ID proofers
• Common definitions
• Levels of Assurance – Impact on legal issues
• Collecting sample contracts
Legal Landscape – The Rules

• Private rules created by or for the participants –
  • e.g., performance obligations of the participants

• Preexisting statutory/regulatory rules that impact performance of participants in the IdM system
  • E.g., privacy laws, security laws, FFIEC, etc

• Preexisting legal rules (statutes, regulations, common law) that impact liability of participants in the IdM system
  • E.g., warranty law, negligence law, etc.

• Sometimes private rules and existing laws clash
Why Do We Care About Legal Issues?

• We need to create a legal framework to make it work
  • Need rules to ensure participant performance of obligations necessary to make it work
  • Need ability to enforce those rules
• We need to understand impact of existing laws
  • We need to understand how existing law will determine liability when losses occur
  • What laws we can change by contract (and how)
  • What laws we can’t change, and must comply with
  • Need to understand how to legally mitigate risks and allocate liability
• Need enforcement mechanism
Consider the Sources of the Legal Issues

• Statutes and regulations (in all relevant jurisdictions)
• Common law / judicial decisions
• Standards
  • Industry associations (e.g., PCI DSS)
  • System rules – e.g., Visa rules, ATM system rules
• Self-imposed requirements
  • Unilateral undertakings, such as privacy policy or CPS
• Contracts among the parties
  • Trust frameworks
  • Bilateral agreements
Consider Categories of Law

- Contract law
- Warranty law
- Tort law
  - Negligent performance
  - Negligent misrepresentation
  - Fraudulent misrepresentation
  - Defamation
- Third party beneficiary law
- E-transactions law
- Consumer protection law
- Security law
- Privacy / data protection law
- Identity theft law
- Antitrust law
- Unfair competition law
- False endorsement
- False advertising
- IP law
  - Copyright law
  - Trade secrets law
  - Trademark law
  - Patent law
- Statutory/regulatory law
  - Governing the IdM process
  - Imposing IdM compliance obligations
- Liability for the conduct of others
- Governmental immunity law
- Other
Consider Factors that Affect Application of the Law

• Nature of the person involved
  • e.g., Individual, consumer, business, corporate entity, government entity

• Expertise of the person involved
  • e.g., unsophisticated vs. professional / in the business, etc.

• Nature of the information involved
  • e.g., sensitivity of personal information (e.g., name vs. SSN)

• Nature of the use involved
  • e.g., login to garden club website vs. launch nuclear missiles

• Nature of any resulting harm
  • e.g., embarrassment, economic loses, property damage, personal injury

• Level of assurance involved
Other Factors

• Who created the legal rule?
  • The participants – e.g., contracts?
  • Government statutes or regulation?
  • Court decision – common law?

• Where does the legal rule apply?
  • What jurisdiction’s law controls transaction?
  • How to handle cross jurisdiction transactions?

• How can we change the legal rule?
  • Can statutes, regulation, or common law be varied by contract?
  • What happens when laws conflict between jurisdictions?
Some Possible Approaches to the Legal Analysis

• Focus on obligations and concerns of each role
  • E.g., what is the IdP obligated to do to make it work?
  • E.g., what is the IdP concerned about re performance by others?

• Focus on actions that occur at each point in the IdM process
  • E.g., for issuance of credential . . .
    • What could go wrong and give rise to liability?
    • What are potential liabilities of each participant (IdP, Subject, Relying Party, etc.) that could flow from such an action?

• Focus on categories of legal risk
  • E.g., performance risk, privacy risk, security risk, identification risk, technology risk, authentication risk, etc.
For Example . . .
Consider Legal Issues By Role

- Basic roles include –
  - Trust Framework Provider / Assessor / Auditor
  - Subject / Identity Provider / Relying Party
  - Victim (non-participant)
- For each role in an IdM system, consider the following:
  - What are the obligations required of a participant in that role in order to make the IdM system work properly
  - What are the concerns that a participant in that role has re participating in and relying on the IdM system?
  - What rights does that role have by law?
  - What other rules are necessary, or should be addressed, for a participant in that role?
Recognize That “Liability” Per Se Is Not the Issue

• “Liability” is just the penalty when you, or someone else, does something wrong
• We need to define when something is wrong
  • What are you required to do?
  • What are you prohibited from doing?
  • What are you committing to (e.g., representations)?
  • What standard is applied to your conduct?
• We need to identify the legal issues of concern
  • We can’t address the issue unless we know the potential source of the liability – e.g., warranty, antitrust, tort, contract, duty to authenticate, etc.
• We need to consider mitigation strategies
Some Liability Models

• DMV model – no IdP liability; other roles bear risk
• Credit card model – no Subject liability; other roles bear risk
• Contractual model – negotiated risk allocation
• Strict liability – regardless of fault
• Liability caps model
• EV SSL model – restrictions on ability of IdP to limit liability
• Warranty model – focus on guarantees
• Tort model – focus on standards of conduct; negligence
Common Problems to Consider

• The non-waivable statute problem
  • Some laws impact IdM systems
  • Can’t be changed by contract

• The cross-border problem
  • Addressing the problem of differing legal regimes
  • Requirements in one jurisdiction may not exist in another
  • Requirements in one jurisdiction may conflict with requirements in another
Addressing/Controlling Legal Issues

- Some legal issues cannot be controlled
  - Law governs – cannot be altered; must comply
  - So must understand impact

- Some legal issues can be controlled by contract
  - Law governs, but can be altered by contract, or
  - No law, so parties can determine by contract or other method

- For some legal issues its unclear whether the issue can be controlled
  - Governing law cannot be altered in some jurisdictions, but can be altered (or doesn’t exist) in others
    - E.g., SSN transfer must be encrypted in some jurisdictions, but not regulated in others
    - E.G., Consent to transfer of personal data valid in some jurisdictions, not valid in others
Approaches to a Legal Framework

- Legislative/Regulatory framework
  - DigSig law in e.g., Washington, Malaysia, Egypt etc.
  - EU E-Signature Directive
  - But don’t address all required issues

- Unilateral assertion model
  - E.g., original CPS approach
  - But can’t ignore laws and regulations

- Contractual framework models
  - But can’t ignore laws and regulations

- Hybrid framework – most likely
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